



Drummon
d
Lambert
Associate

604 899 5205
dlambert@eyfordpartners.com

BIOGRAPHY

Drummond Lambert is a Vancouver-based civil litigator. He has a broad practice that includes construction litigation, Indigenous law, commercial litigation, insurance defence, and judicial review. He strives to provide strategic and practical advice to clients facing complex disputes.

Drummond has assisted clients with matters before all levels of court in British Columbia and with alternative dispute resolution processes, such as mediation and arbitration. In his construction practice, Drummond has represented warranty providers, consultants, contractors, and homeowners in a wide range of matters, such as multi-party construction defect disputes, delay claims, and surety matters. His commercial litigation experience includes debt enforcement, shareholder disputes, and class actions.

Drummond obtained his Juris Doctor from the University of British Columbia in 2017. Before joining Eyford Partners LLP, he articled and then practiced law for three years as an associate at a large regional firm.

NOTABLE CASES

[Sidhu v Hiebert, 2023 BCSC 436](#): In a complex motor vehicle action, obtained disclosure of the vehicle manufacturer's pre-trial settlement with the plaintiff and related documentation to ensure the plaintiff's damages were assessed accurately.

[Sidhu v. Hiebert, 2022 BCSC 1024](#): Counsel at complex motor vehicle trial involving a range of issues including manufacturer liability,

BAR ADMISSION

British Columbia, 2018

contributory negligence, and social host liability.

The Owners, Strata Plan KAS 3410 v. Meritage Lofts Inc., 2022 BCCA 109: In a construction defect action, successfully responded to appeal challenging architects' right to bring third party claims against the directors of a general contractor.

Strebinger v Seymour Golf and Country Club, 2021 BCSC 695: Counsel for society responding to former member's claim that his membership was not terminated in accordance with the society's bylaws. Claim successfully defended on the bases that it was barred by the Limitation Act and, in any event, the society had complied with its bylaws in terminating the petitioner's membership.

Surespan Structures Ltd. v Lloyd's Underwriters, 2018 BCSC 1058: Case concerned successful application for a court order declaring that consultants involved in the design of parkades at two hospitals met the definition of an "insured" under a professional liability insurance policy.